## **Neural network applications**

#### To date:

- Neural networks: what are they
- Backpropagation: efficient gradient computation
- Advanced training: (scaled) conjugate gradient
- Adaptive architectures: cascade NN w/NDEKF

### **Today:**

• Neural network applications

# **ALVINN overview**

#### **Basics:**

- Map image of road ahead to steering direction
- Training data: watch (person) and learn

#### **Performance:**

- Demonstrated for 100+ continuous miles at 70+ mph (10Hz)
- Neither rain nor sleet nor snow...
- One-lane dirt paths to interstate highways

### So is that all there is to it?

# ALVINN (Pomerleau, mid 1990s)

#### Autonomous Land Vehicle in Neural Network



## **ALVINN: input representation**

### **Typical hi-res camera image:** $500 \times 500 = 250,000$

- Too many inputs
- Solution: sub-sample image  $(32 \times 30 = 960 \text{whew!})$
- Color/intensity normalization reduce lighting variability

#### **Questions: Why choose** $32 \times 30$ ?

# ALVINN: input image example #1



# ALVINN: input image example #2



# **ALVINN: output representation**

#### **Output representation: two choices**

- Single linear output
- Multiple outputs: Gaussian fit

#### **Questions:**

• Why choose particular output representation?

Gaussian output representation example



## **ALVINN: neural network architecture**

Tried everything from one to 70 hidden units Four to five hidden units worked best

#### **Questions:**

- Why no direct input/output connections?
- Why did larger networks not do better?

# **ALVINN: training data**

#### **Problem: Person drives too well!**

• Neural network does not learn error recovery

### Solution: create synthetic data from real data



# **ALVINN: synthetic images**

### Problem: What's the correct steering direction?

• Pure pursuit model of how people driving



## **ALVINN: spurrious features**

### **Examples of problem data:**

- Oil slicks, shadows
- Other cars



### **Removing spurrious features**

Solution #1: Add Gaussian noise to image (*problems*?) Solution #2: Model spurrious features (*problems*?)

#### Solution #3: Use neural network's internal model

- "Structured noise"
- Learns to ignore peripheral features

# **ALVINN: conclusions**

- ALVINN represented a huge step forward in autonomous driving (mid 1990s)
- Probably most well-known NN application
- Extensively tested at high speeds in real traffic
- Next step: learning from ALVINN

## **ALVINN: other issues**

- Balance data (left/right/straight samples) (why?)
- Training on-line (vs. batch)
- Hidden unit weights: a closer look



## **RALPH: learning from ALVINN**

### **Rapid Lateral Position Handler:**

- Understanding ALVINN let to RALPH
- Took several years of analysis
- Easy to understand technique

### **Question:**

• Which is better approach?

## **RALPH: basic algorithm**

#### For a given image:

- Trapezoidal subsampling of image
- Hypothesize a road curvature
- Horizontally shift pixels to correspond to curvature hypothesis
- *Vertically* add pixel intensities •
- Compute measure of curvature hypothesis correctness

## **Trapezoidal subsampling**

Key insight: don't look at whole image



- Function of speed •
- Camera orientation w/respect to road (perspective) •
- No spurrious feature problem •

## Trapezoidal subsampling: example #1





Why do trapezoidal subsampling?

# Trapezoidal subsampling: example #2



Note how key features line up to indicate curvature...

## **RALPH:** basic algorithm

#### For a given image:

- Trapezoidal subsampling of image
- Curvature hypothesis
- *Horizontally* shift pixels to correspond to curvature hypothesis
- *Vertically* add pixel intensities
- Compute measure of curvature hypothesis correctness

# **RALPH: curvature hypothesis**

- Curvature hypothesis
- *Horizontally* shift pixels to correspond to curvature hypothesis



# **RALPH:** basic algorithm

#### For a given image:

- Trapezoidal subsampling of image
- Hypothesize a road curvature
- *Horizontally* shift pixels to correspond to curvature hypothesis
- *Vertically* add pixel intensities
- Compute measure of curvature hypothesis correctness

# **RALPH: curvature hypothesis evaluation**

- Vertically add pixel intensities
- Compute measure of curvature hypothesis correctness



RALPH performance	ALVINN vs. RALPH
"No Hands across America"	
• Washington, D.C. to San Diego (2,850 miles)	
• 98.1% autonomous (2,796 miles)	
• 70 mph top speed (officially)	Which is better?
• 110 mph top speed (unofficially)	
Lines are useful, but RALPH doesn't need them	
Failure modes	
Neural network applications	Face detection (Kanade, late 1990s)
Road following	Basics:
ALVINN: Road following	• Map $20 \times 20$ image to $\pm 1$ (face/non-face)
• RALPH: learning from neural networks	
Face detection	Performance:
Robot control	• Face detection results: 85%-90%, few false detects
	• 1.5Hz - 3.5Hz on PII/450 (320 × 240)

## **Face detection**

#### **Outline:**

- Which part of image to look at?
- Image pre-processing
- Specialized neural network architecture
- Training data
- Overlap detection
- Committee of experts: multiple neural networks
- Results

# **Face detection**

#### **Outline:**

- Which part of image to look at?
- Image pre-processing
- Specialized neu<del>ral</del> network architecture
- Training data
- Overlap detection
- Committee of experts: multiple neural networks
- Results

# Image preprocessing



# Specialized neural network architecture



## **Face detection**

### **Outline:**

- Which part of image to look at?
- Image pre-processing
- Specialized neural network architecture
- Training data
- Overlap detection
- Committee of experts: multiple neural networks
- Results

# NN training data: face examples





## **Generating non-face examples**



# NN training data: nonface examples



## **Basic NN detection results**

		Missed	Detect	False
Туре	System	faces	rate	detects
Single	1) Network 1 (2 copies of hidden units (52 total),	45	91.1%	945
network,	2905 connections)			
no	2) Network 2 (3 copies of hidden units (78 total),	38	92.5%	862
heuristics	4357 connections)			
	3) Network 3 (2 copies of hidden units (52 total),	46	90.9%	738
	2905 connections)			
	4) Network 4 (3 copies of hidden units (78 total),	40	92.1%	819
	4357 connections)			

## **Face detection**

#### **Outline:**

- Which part of image to look at?
- Image pre-processing
- Specialized neural network architecture
- Training data
- Overlap detection
- Committee of experts: multiple neural networks
- Results

# **Overlap detection**



## NN results w/overlap detection

		Missed	Detect	False
Туре	System	faces	rate	detects
Single	1) Network 1 (2 copies of hidden units (52 total),	45	91.1%	945
network,	2905 connections)			
no	2) Network 2 (3 copies of hidden units (78 total),	38	92.5%	862
heuristics	4357 connections)			
	3) Network 3 (2 copies of hidden units (52 total),	46	90.9%	738
	2905 connections)			
	4) Network 4 (3 copies of hidden units (78 total),	40	92.1%	819
	4357 connections)			
Single	5) Network 1 $\rightarrow$ threshold(2,1) $\rightarrow$ overlap elimination	48	90.5%	570
network,				
with	6) Network 2 $\rightarrow$ threshold(2,1) $\rightarrow$ overlap elimination	42	91.7%	506
heuristics				
	7) Network $3 \rightarrow$ threshold $(2,1) \rightarrow$ overlap elimination	49	90.3%	440
	8) Network 4 $\rightarrow$ threshold(2,1) $\rightarrow$ overlap elimination	42	91.7%	484

### **Face detection**

### **Outline:**

- Which part of image to look at?
- Image pre-processing
- Specialized neural network architecture
- Training data
- Overlap detection
- Committee of experts: multiple neural networks
- Results

# NN results w/multiple networks

Single	5) Network 1 $\rightarrow$ threshold(2.1) $\rightarrow$ overlap elimination	18	00.5%	570
network	$(2,1) \rightarrow (0)$	40	90.3%	570
with	6) Network 2 $\rightarrow$ threshold(2.1) $\rightarrow$ overlap elimination	42	91.7%	506
heuristics	o) itetwork 2 / uneshold(2,1) / overlap eminiation	12	11.1 10	500
neuristics	7) Network $3 \rightarrow$ threshold(2,1) $\rightarrow$ overlap elimination	49	90.3%	440
	8) Network 4 $\rightarrow$ threshold(2,1) $\rightarrow$ overlap elimination	42	91.7%	484
Arbitrating	9) Networks 1 and $2 \rightarrow AND(0)$	68	86.6%	79
among two				
networks	10) Networks 1 and $2 \rightarrow AND(0) \rightarrow threshold(2,3)$	112	77.9%	2
	$\rightarrow$ overlap elimination			
	11) Networks 1 and 2 $\rightarrow$ threshold(2,2) $\rightarrow$ overlap	70	86.2%	23
	elimination $\rightarrow$ AND(2)			
	12) Networks 1 and 2 $\rightarrow$ thresh(2,2) $\rightarrow$ overlap elim	49	90.3%	185
	$\rightarrow$ OR(2) $\rightarrow$ thresh(2,1) $\rightarrow$ overlap elimination			
Arbitrating	13) Networks 1, 2, $3 \rightarrow \text{voting}(0) \rightarrow \text{overlap}$	59	88.4%	99
among	elimination			
three	14) Networks 1, 2, $3 \rightarrow$ network arbitration (5 hidden	79	84.4%	16
networks	units) $\rightarrow$ thresh(2,1) $\rightarrow$ overlap elimination			
	15) Networks 1, 2, $3 \rightarrow$ network arbitration (10)	83	83.6%	10
	hidden units) $\rightarrow$ thresh(2,1) $\rightarrow$ overlap elimination			
	16) Networks 1, 2, $3 \rightarrow$ network arbitration	84	83.4%	12
	$(perceptron) \rightarrow thresh(2,1) \rightarrow overlap elimination$			



## **Face detection**

#### **Outline:**

- Which part of image to look at?
- Image pre-processing
- Specialized neural network architecture
- Training data
- Overlap detection
- Committee of experts: multiple neural networks
- Results

# **Committee of experts**

# Sample detection results



# Sample detection results



# Sample detection results



# Sample detection results



### Sample detection results



### Sample detection results



## Face detection: concluding thoughts

NN\_worked as well as anything at the time...

...since then statistical frequency modeling has surpassed accuracy (Schneiderman, 2001)

#### **Comparison (over same test set):**

- 95.8% vs. 86.0% detection
- 65 vs. 31 false detections
- slower vs. faster

#### **Commercial system at Superbowl 2001 (Tampa)**

## **Neural network applications**

#### **Road following**

- ALVINN: Road following
- RALPH: learning from neural networks

**Face detection** 

**Robot control** 

## **Robot control**

#### Analytic model:

 $\tau = M(\Theta)\ddot{\Theta} + V(\Theta, \dot{\Theta}) + G(\Theta) \text{ (why important?)}$ 

#### What's missing?

- Friction
- Link flexibility
- Unmodeled dynamics (inertia tensors, masses, etc.)

Bottom line: analytic model will not be 100%

## **Robot control**

#### Analytic model:

 $\tau = M(\Theta)\ddot{\Theta} + V(\Theta, \dot{\Theta}) + G(\Theta) \text{ (why important?)}$ 

#### What's missing?

- Friction
- Link flexibility
- Unmodeled dynamics (inertia tensors, masses, etc.)

#### Bottom line: analytic model will not be 100%

## Use NN to model robot dynamics



#### Is this a good idea?

## Better idea: complement analytic model



#### Why is this better?

# **Neural network applications**

#### **Road following**

- ALVINN: Road following
- RALPH: learning from neural networks

**Face detection** 

**Robot control** 

**Other applications?** 

Why didn't we use it for horizon tracking?